

Government Contracts BACK TO BASICS

SOURCE SELECTION AND BID PROTESTS: PRE- AND POST-AWARD CONSIDERATIONS

Daniel Forman Amy O'Sullivan Olivia Lynch Robert Sneckenberg



The Procurement Cycle

- Continuous cycle:
 - Source selection
 - Bid protest litigation (during and/or after source selection)
 - Contract performance
- Considerations/timelines driven by need for competition



CICA's Competition Mandate

- The Competition in Contracting Act of 1984
 Passed to foster competition and reduce costs
- Mandates full and open competition
 - I.e., all responsible sources are permitted to submit proposals
- Sets minimum time frames after publication before the procurement can be processed
 - Typically procurements must be advertised for at least 15 days before issuance of the solicitation
 - Minimum response times (30-45 days) set for receipt of proposals or bids from the date of issuance of the solicitation



CICA's Fundamental Requirement of Competition

- Seven exceptions to full and open competition:
 - 1. Only one responsible source (FAR 6.302-1)
 - 2. Unusual and compelling urgency (FAR 6.302-2)
 - 3. Industrial Mobilization, Engineering Development, or Research Capability (FAR 6.302-3)
 - 4. International Agreement (FAR 6.302-4)
 - 5. Authorized or Required by Statute (FAR 6.302-5)
 - 6. National Security (FAR 6.302-6)
 - 7. Public Interest (FAR 6.302-7)
- Requirements for Justifications for Other than Full and Open Competition
 - Documentation, publication, approval levels



CICA's Fundamental Requirement of Competition

- Typical procedures that are considered competitive:
 - Sealed Bids in accordance with FAR Part 14
 - Competitive Proposals in accordance with FAR Part 15
 - GSA award schedules if orders are placed following the procedures in FAR 8.405
 - Architect-engineer contracts using procedures in FAR Subpart 36.6
- Different rules apply for:
 - Contracts awarded using the simplified acquisition procedures of Part 13
 - Orders placed under task and delivery order contracts entered into pursuant to Subpart 16.5
 - Orders placed under indefinite-quantity contracts that were awarded using competitive procedures
 - Contract modifications that are within the scope and terms of an existing contract
 - Awards made pursuant to Section 8(a) of the Small Business Act $_{41}$



Full & Open v. Set-Aside Competitions

- Set-asides limit pool of competition easier for contractors to compete
- Statutory government-wide goals: SB = 23%; SDB = 5%; WOSB = 5%; HUBZone = 3%; SDVOSB = 3%
- Set-aside defines eligibility
 - Subcontracting opportunities?
- Potential challenges to set-aside determination

crowell moring Understanding the Key Steps in the Procurement Process

- Posting of Draft RFP or Other Pre-Solicitation Notices (not required)
- Issuance of Solicitation
 - Q&As, Amendments
- Proposal Submission
- Evaluation
- Competitive Range Determination (not required)
 - Discussions
 - Request for FPRs (possibly multiple rounds)
- Award
- Debriefing



Acquisition Planning

- Agency must determine requirements and acquisition strategy—i.e., proposed contract type, terms and conditions, and acquisition planning schedules
- FAR encourages agencies to have exchanges with industry to identify and resolve concerns regarding:
 - acquisition strategy;
 - the feasibility of the requirements, including performance requirements, statements of work, and data requirements;
 - the suitability of the proposal instructions and evaluation criteria, including the approach for assessing past performance information; and
 - the availability of reference documents
- Mechanisms: RFIs, industry conferences, draft RFPs, pre-solicitation notices, site visits



Issuance of the Solicitation

- Solicitation must include:
 - Government's requirements
 - Evaluation factors and significant subfactors
 - Information required in offerors' proposals
- The Government must ensure a level playing field and that no offeror receives an unfair competitive advantage
- Solicitation Q&A process can be an effective tool for advocating change to solicitation or identifying shortcomings
 - Understand how to ask questions to improve your competitive standing
- Important to resolve any ambiguity or confusion in the solicitation prior to proposal submission



Proposal Submission

- It is an offeror's responsibility to submit a proposal that establishes its capability and the technical merits of its proposed approach, and allows for a meaningful review by the procuring agency in accordance with the evaluation terms of the solicitation
 - Important to provide all required information
 - Demonstrate compliance with material solicitation requirements
- Key RFP Sections: C, L, M (and H for "special" clauses)
- Ensure timely submission ("late is late") even if protest terms of RFP



Evaluation

- FAR Part 15 prescribes policies and procedures governing negotiated acquisitions
- Agencies must evaluate proposals in accordance with stated criteria and may not introduce new criteria midstream without affording offerors a chance to revise proposals
- Agencies may not ignore stated criteria
- <u>Note</u>: While agencies may not create new criteria on the fly, certain unannounced considerations will be deemed to be "encompassed" by stated evaluation criteria (e.g., proposal risk)
- Focus on weighting of factors
- Understand key differences between best-value and LPTA



Exchanges

- Clarifications
 - Limited exchanges between an agency and an offeror for the purpose of eliminating minor uncertainties or irregularities in a proposal, and do not give an offeror the opportunity to revise or modify its proposal
 - It is in the Government's discretion to issue clarification questions
 offerors should not rely on the Government doing so
- Establishment of competitive range and discussions
 - Discussions occur when an agency communicates with an offeror for the purpose of obtaining information essential to determine the acceptability of a proposal, or provides the offeror with an opportunity to revise or modify its proposal in some material respect
 - If the Government holds discussions, they must be meaningful, equal and not misleading
- Train your employees to recognize permissible communications



Contract Award

- Determination of awardee responsibility
 - Contracting Officer must find the awardee responsible as the Government cannot contract with a non-responsible party

• Notice of award & debriefing

- Debriefings are an opportunity for an offeror to better understand the basis for an agency's selection decision
- Timely request debriefing (and accept first date offered)
- In FAR part 15 debriefings, Government must provide certain information
 - Formats and level of detail provided varies greatly
 - Cannot provide point-by-point comparisons
- No recourse if Government does not provide a debriefing or all of the information required to be provided by the FAR
- Understand differences with pre-v. post-award debriefings

crowell moring Bid Protests: Preserving Your Right to a Fair Competitive Process

- A bid protest:
 - Is a statutorily created right to challenge procurement ground rules (solicitation terms), proposal evaluations, and award decisions
 - Provides offerors an opportunity to ensure a fair and objective chance to compete for and win government business
- Pre-award protests may allege error in the creation of a procurement solicitation
- Post-award protests may allege error in the evaluation of the protesting company, or errors in the evaluation of the company selected for award
- Note: Some unusual types of bid protests do not quite fit into either box, e.g.:
 - Protests of certain sole source awards
 - Protests of mid-procurement "competitive range" eliminations



Pre-Award Protests

- Common issues:
 - Solicitation is unduly restrictive/overstates agency needs
 - Ambiguous requirements/terms in the solicitation
 - Inconsistency in terms of RFP
 - Solicitation requirements unnecessarily favor one offeror
 - Solicitation's identification of contract clauses is flawed



Pre-Award Protests

- Usually must file prior to time set for receipt of proposals
- Effect of filing: If timely filed, agency may not award the contract pending the outcome of the protest
- May still need to submit timely proposal to preserve standing
- Sensitivity to filing while proposal is still subject to agency evaluation
- <u>Practice Tip</u>: Use the solicitation Q&A process before filing protest in order to seek clarification of ambiguous terms and/or advocate for changes to the solicitation



Post-Award Protests

- Filed after the evaluation process has been completed, and generally after the award decision has been announced
- Common Issues:
 - Deviation from the solicitation's stated evaluation criteria
 - Relaxation of solicitation technical requirements and/or addition of unstated minimum technical requirements
 - Arbitrary proposal evaluation (technical, price, past performance, etc.)
 - Unequal treatment
 - Improper best value tradeoff analysis
 - Lack of meaningful discussions or misleading discussions
 - Organizational Conflicts of Interest
 - Cost/price realism
 - Key personnel bait & switch or late substitutions by awardee
 - Improper determination of contractor responsibility



Post-Award Protests

- Post-award protests may allege error in the evaluation of the protesting company, or errors in the evaluation of the company selected for award
- <u>Post-Award</u>: If filed within 10 days of award or <u>5 days</u> after a requested and required debriefing, the agency must immediately suspend contract performance
- <u>Note</u>: The 5-day post-debriefing rule for obtaining a CICA stay of performance is <u>different</u> from the post-debriefing timeliness rule (10 days)
- One of the major challenges of the bid protest process is that you must generally move quickly and file a protest with limited information



Considerations Before Filing a Protest

- Among the issues offerors should consider before filing a bid protest are:
 - Perception of merits of protest allegations
 - Ability to establish interested party status and prejudice
 - Customer reaction
 - Incumbent status
 - Cost of protesting
 - Likelihood of "win" beyond a sustained protest
 - Agencies often afforded <u>significant</u> discretion
- Tough, high-level decisions to make in short time window



Bid Protest Forums

Agency-Level Protest

- Cheapest alternative
- Slim chance of success, but a good way to make a statement of frustration and/or disappointment without "suing the customer"

• GAO

- Recommended forum in most circumstances
- Decision-makers have specialized expertise in procurement law
- Quick process: 100 days from start to finish
- Mandatory stay of performance when protests are timely filed
- Recovery of protests costs including attorneys fees for certain successful protests

• Court of Federal Claims

- No automatic stay and unlikely award of protest costs
- Decisions are binding but can be appealed
- Can cost more and take longer than GAO protests
- FAA Office of Dispute Resolution ("ODRA")
 - Special forum for Federal Aviation Administration bid protests



Considerations for Selecting a Bid Protest Forum

- Would protest be time-barred in one or multiple forums?
- Does each forum have jurisdiction over this particular protest?
- How expensive will it be not only to file, but to pursue the protest to decision on the merits?
- What discovery is available to the protester?
- Is a stay of performance or award automatic?
- What is the effect of a protest decision, and what options are available for further review after decision is issued?
- What is the background or experience of the individual who will be deciding the protest?
- What are the current trends for filings and "win-rates"?



Post-Protest Considerations

- Resolution timelines vary based on forum
 - GAO decision by statute must be issued within 100 days of filing initial protest
- Corrective action pre- or post-decision
 - Increasingly common
 - Wide variation in implementation timeline
 - Actual corrective action can vary from what was proposed
 - Could lead to additional rounds of protest litigation
- Contract performance builds into next round proposal (past performance, etc.)



QUESTIONS?

Dan Forman dforman@crowell.com (202) 624-2504

Amy O'Sullivan aosullivan@crowell.com (202) 624-2563

Olivia Lynch olynch@crowell.com (202) 624-2654

Rob Sneckenberg rsneckenberg@crowell.com (202) 624-2874